

Hospital Capacity Management: Insights and Strategies

*Dr. Evans Kofi Agbeno, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
University of Cape Coast*

Abstract

Hospital capacity management has emerged as a critical challenge in healthcare systems worldwide, particularly in the wake of unprecedented demands highlighted by global health crises. This research paper examines the multifaceted dimensions of hospital capacity management, exploring theoretical frameworks, operational strategies, and technological innovations that enable healthcare institutions to optimize resource utilization while maintaining quality patient care. Drawing from empirical studies and contemporary practices across diverse healthcare settings, this paper synthesizes insights on bed management, staffing optimization, emergency preparedness, and data-driven decision-making. The analysis reveals that effective capacity management requires an integrated approach combining predictive analytics, flexible resource allocation, and stakeholder collaboration. This research contributes to the growing body of knowledge by providing evidence-based strategies that healthcare administrators, policymakers, and researchers can implement to enhance operational efficiency and patient outcomes in an increasingly complex healthcare landscape.

Keywords: Hospital capacity management, healthcare operations, resource optimization, patient flow, predictive analytics, emergency preparedness

1. Introduction

Hospital capacity management represents one of the most pressing operational challenges confronting modern healthcare systems. The ability to effectively manage hospital capacity directly influences patient access to care, clinical outcomes, operational costs, and overall healthcare system performance. As healthcare demands continue to escalate due to aging populations, increasing prevalence of chronic diseases, and periodic surge events such as pandemics and natural disasters, the imperative for sophisticated capacity management strategies has never been more pronounced (Bai et al., 2018). Healthcare administrators must navigate the delicate balance between maintaining adequate capacity to meet patient needs and optimizing resource utilization to ensure financial sustainability.

The complexity of hospital capacity management stems from its multidimensional nature, encompassing physical infrastructure such as beds and equipment, human resources including physicians and nursing staff, and intangible assets like clinical expertise and organizational processes. Unlike many industries where capacity can be readily adjusted to match demand fluctuations, healthcare institutions face unique constraints including regulatory requirements, ethical obligations to provide care, and the unpredictable nature of patient arrivals and

clinical needs (Eriksson et al., 2020). These challenges are compounded by the high fixed costs associated with maintaining hospital infrastructure and the significant lead times required to expand capacity through construction or workforce development.

Recent global events, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic, have dramatically underscored the critical importance of robust capacity management systems. Healthcare systems worldwide experienced unprecedented strain as patient volumes surged beyond normal operating parameters, revealing vulnerabilities in existing capacity planning frameworks and highlighting the need for more adaptive and resilient approaches (Carenzo et al., 2020). The pandemic served as a catalyst for innovation in capacity management, accelerating the adoption of digital technologies, flexible staffing models, and collaborative networks that enable more dynamic responses to demand fluctuations.

Contemporary research in hospital capacity management has increasingly emphasized the integration of advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and real-time monitoring systems to enhance predictive capabilities and enable proactive decision-making. These technological advancements offer unprecedented opportunities to optimize patient flow, reduce bottlenecks, and improve resource allocation efficiency (Gartner et al., 2015). However, the successful implementation of these innovations requires careful consideration of organizational culture, change management processes, and the human factors that fundamentally shape healthcare delivery.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive examination of hospital capacity management by synthesizing current research, analyzing proven strategies, and identifying emerging trends that will shape the future of healthcare operations. Through this analysis, we seek to offer actionable insights for healthcare leaders, policymakers, and researchers working to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness, and equity of healthcare delivery systems. The following sections explore the theoretical foundations of capacity management, examine key operational dimensions, analyze technological innovations, and present strategic recommendations for healthcare organizations seeking to optimize their capacity management practices.

2. Theoretical Foundations and Conceptual Framework

The theoretical underpinnings of hospital capacity management draw from multiple disciplines including operations research, systems engineering, organizational theory, and healthcare management. Understanding these foundational concepts is essential for developing comprehensive strategies that address the multifaceted challenges inherent in managing complex healthcare delivery systems. This section examines the key theoretical frameworks that inform contemporary capacity management practices and provides a conceptual model for understanding the interrelationships among various capacity dimensions.

Queuing theory represents one of the most fundamental theoretical frameworks applied to hospital capacity management. Originally developed to analyze telecommunications

networks and manufacturing systems, queuing theory provides mathematical models for understanding how entities move through systems characterized by service points and waiting times (Green, 2006). In healthcare contexts, queuing theory helps administrators analyze patient flow through various hospital departments, predict waiting times, and optimize resource allocation to minimize delays while maintaining acceptable service levels. The application of queuing models enables healthcare organizations to better understand the relationship between arrival rates, service capacity, and system performance metrics such as patient wait times and resource utilization rates.

Systems theory offers another crucial perspective for understanding hospital capacity management by emphasizing the interconnected nature of healthcare delivery processes. This theoretical approach views hospitals as complex adaptive systems comprising multiple interdependent subsystems including emergency departments, intensive care units, surgical services, diagnostic facilities, and support services (Kannampallil et al., 2011). Systems theory highlights how changes in one component of the healthcare delivery system can create ripple effects throughout the organization, emphasizing the importance of holistic approaches to capacity planning that consider system-wide implications rather than focusing narrowly on individual departments or units. This perspective has proven particularly valuable in addressing capacity bottlenecks that arise from suboptimal coordination among different hospital units.

The theory of constraints, developed by Goldratt and popularized through the business novel "The Goal," provides a focused approach to identifying and addressing the most critical capacity limitations within complex systems (Goldratt & Cox, 2016). This theoretical framework emphasizes that system performance is fundamentally limited by its weakest link or bottleneck constraint. In hospital settings, theory of constraints methodology directs attention toward identifying the most critical capacity constraints—whether physical beds, specialized equipment, or skilled personnel—and implementing targeted interventions to alleviate these bottlenecks. By systematically addressing the primary constraint before moving to secondary limitations, hospitals can achieve substantial improvements in overall capacity and patient flow.

Lean management principles, originally developed in manufacturing contexts but increasingly applied to healthcare, offer valuable frameworks for eliminating waste and optimizing processes (Womack & Jones, 2003). Lean thinking emphasizes the importance of identifying value from the patient's perspective and systematically removing non-value-adding activities that consume resources without contributing to patient outcomes. In capacity management contexts, lean principles guide efforts to streamline patient flow, reduce unnecessary delays, eliminate redundant processes, and optimize the utilization of valuable clinical resources. The application of lean methodologies has demonstrated significant potential for improving hospital efficiency while simultaneously enhancing patient experience and clinical quality.

Contingency theory provides an important theoretical foundation for understanding how capacity management strategies must be tailored to specific organizational contexts and

environmental conditions. This theoretical perspective emphasizes that there is no universally optimal approach to organizational management; rather, effective strategies must be aligned with factors such as organizational size, patient populations served, geographic location, competitive environment, and resource availability (Donaldson, 2001). Contingency theory underscores the importance of flexibility and adaptability in capacity management, recognizing that strategies effective in large urban academic medical centers may require substantial modification when applied to rural community hospitals or specialized care facilities.

Building on these theoretical foundations, we propose an integrated conceptual framework for hospital capacity management that encompasses four interdependent dimensions: structural capacity, operational capacity, human capacity, and adaptive capacity. Structural capacity refers to the physical infrastructure including beds, treatment spaces, and medical equipment that define the maximum theoretical capacity of the healthcare facility. Operational capacity encompasses the processes, protocols, and management systems that determine how effectively structural resources are utilized to deliver patient care. Human capacity includes not only the number of clinical staff but also their skills, expertise, experience, and ability to function effectively under varying conditions. Adaptive capacity represents the organization's ability to flexibly respond to demand fluctuations, rapidly scale operations during surge events, and recover from disruptions.

These four capacity dimensions exist in dynamic interaction, with the overall capacity of the healthcare system determined not by any single dimension in isolation but by their integrated functioning. For example, a hospital may possess abundant structural capacity in terms of physical beds, but if operational processes are inefficient or human resources are insufficient, the effective capacity available for patient care will be substantially constrained. Similarly, highly skilled clinical staff and efficient operational processes cannot compensate for fundamental limitations in physical infrastructure when demand significantly exceeds available space and equipment. This integrated framework emphasizes that sustainable improvements in hospital capacity require coordinated interventions addressing multiple dimensions simultaneously rather than isolated efforts focused on single aspects of capacity.

The temporal dimension represents another critical element of hospital capacity management that requires theoretical consideration. Hospital capacity must be conceptualized not as a static attribute but as a dynamic characteristic that varies across multiple time scales. Short-term capacity fluctuations occur over hours and days due to variations in patient arrivals, discharge patterns, and staffing schedules. Medium-term capacity changes unfold over weeks and months influenced by seasonal disease patterns, scheduled surgical procedures, and planned facility maintenance. Long-term capacity evolution occurs over years and decades driven by demographic trends, technological advances, and strategic decisions regarding facility expansion or service line development (McManus et al., 2004). Effective capacity management requires strategies tailored to each temporal scale, from real-time operational adjustments to long-range strategic planning.

The geographic dimension of capacity management has gained increasing attention as healthcare systems recognize the importance of regional coordination and network-based approaches. Individual hospitals do not operate in isolation but function as components of broader healthcare ecosystems that include other acute care facilities, ambulatory care centers, long-term care facilities, and community health services. Capacity management strategies that consider these network relationships can leverage resource sharing, patient transfer protocols, and collaborative planning to enhance overall system resilience and efficiency (Dorn et al., 2006). This network perspective is particularly relevant during surge events when individual facilities may be overwhelmed but coordinated regional responses can distribute patient loads more effectively across available resources.

3. Dimensions of Hospital Capacity Management

3.1 Bed Capacity and Infrastructure Management

Bed capacity represents the most visible and frequently discussed aspect of hospital capacity management, serving as a fundamental constraint on the number of patients that can receive inpatient care at any given time. The management of bed capacity involves complex decisions regarding the allocation of physical spaces to different service lines, the flexibility of converting spaces between different uses, and the balance between specialized and general medical-surgical beds (Kc & Terwiesch, 2009). Healthcare administrators must consider not only the total number of beds but also their distribution across various categories including intensive care units, step-down or intermediate care units, general medical-surgical floors, maternity services, and psychiatric units, each serving distinct patient populations with specific care requirements.

The traditional approach to bed capacity management focused primarily on maintaining high occupancy rates to maximize revenue and minimize unused resources, with many hospitals targeting occupancy levels of 85 to 95 percent. However, contemporary research has demonstrated that extremely high occupancy rates can create significant operational problems including increased patient boarding in emergency departments, frequent diversions of ambulances to other facilities, higher rates of medical errors, and reduced patient and staff satisfaction (Forster et al., 2003). Studies have shown that when hospital occupancy exceeds approximately 85 percent, the probability of experiencing capacity-related problems increases substantially, suggesting that some degree of buffer capacity is essential for maintaining system performance and quality of care. This recognition has led to more nuanced approaches that balance financial considerations with operational flexibility and quality imperatives.

Infrastructure flexibility has emerged as a critical consideration in modern hospital design and capacity planning. Flexible design principles enable hospital spaces to be rapidly reconfigured to accommodate changing clinical needs, whether responding to seasonal fluctuations in demand, emerging infectious diseases requiring isolation capacity, or shifts in the case mix of patients requiring hospital services. Acuity-adaptable rooms, which can accommodate patients across different levels of care intensity without requiring physical transfers between units, represent one important innovation in flexible capacity design

(Hendrich et al., 2004). These versatile spaces allow hospitals to adjust effective capacity in response to fluctuating demands for intensive care versus general medical-surgical beds, reducing the frequency of patient transfers and enhancing operational efficiency.

Technology infrastructure has become increasingly integral to effective bed capacity management, with sophisticated software systems enabling real-time monitoring of bed status, automated patient placement optimization, and predictive analytics to anticipate future capacity needs. Bed management systems integrate data from multiple sources including electronic health records, admission and discharge tracking systems, and housekeeping operations to provide comprehensive visibility into bed availability and turnover processes (Bai et al., 2018). Advanced analytics capabilities enable these systems to identify patterns in bed utilization, predict discharge timings, and optimize cleaning and preparation processes to minimize the time beds remain empty between patients. The implementation of these technological solutions has demonstrated potential to improve bed turnover efficiency by 10 to 20 percent, substantially enhancing effective capacity without requiring physical expansion.

3.2 Emergency Department Capacity and Patient Flow

The emergency department represents a critical pressure point in hospital capacity management, serving as the primary entry portal for unscheduled patient admissions and facing unique challenges related to unpredictable arrival patterns, regulatory requirements to provide medical screening regardless of ability to pay, and increasing patient volumes that have outpaced capacity expansion in many jurisdictions. Emergency department crowding has been identified as a major patient safety concern and operational challenge, with extensive research documenting associations between crowding and adverse outcomes including increased mortality, longer lengths of stay, higher rates of medical errors, and deteriorating patient satisfaction (Bernstein et al., 2009). The management of emergency department capacity therefore represents not merely an operational efficiency issue but a fundamental quality and safety imperative.

Patient flow through emergency departments involves multiple sequential stages including triage, initial assessment, diagnostic testing, treatment, and disposition decisions regarding admission, discharge, or transfer. Bottlenecks at any stage in this process can create cascading delays that impact overall emergency department capacity and performance. Triage systems play a crucial role in managing demand by prioritizing patients based on clinical acuity and ensuring that the most critically ill receive immediate attention while patients with less urgent conditions accept longer waits (FitzGerald et al., 2010). Sophisticated triage protocols employ standardized assessment tools that have been validated for reliability and accuracy in differentiating patients requiring urgent intervention from those who can safely wait for care.

The phenomenon of emergency department boarding, where admitted patients remain in emergency department treatment spaces awaiting inpatient bed availability, represents one of the most significant capacity challenges facing contemporary hospitals. Boarding creates a

vicious cycle in which emergency department capacity is consumed by admitted patients awaiting transfer, reducing the number of treatment spaces available for new emergency presentations, extending wait times for patients requiring evaluation, and increasing the likelihood that the emergency department will go on diversion status redirecting ambulances to other facilities (Pines et al., 2011). Research has demonstrated that boarding times exceeding six hours are associated with increased mortality rates, highlighting the serious clinical consequences of capacity mismatches between emergency and inpatient services.

Innovative strategies to improve emergency department capacity have focused on both demand management and throughput optimization. Fast track systems that separate patients with minor injuries and illnesses into parallel pathways staffed by advanced practice providers can substantially reduce wait times and improve overall emergency department efficiency (Sanchez et al., 2006). Bedside registration processes that bring administrative staff to patients rather than requiring patients to wait in queue for registration processing can reduce initial delays. Point-of-care diagnostic testing that provides rapid results for common investigations such as blood tests and imaging studies can accelerate clinical decision-making and reduce overall length of stay. Streaming protocols that direct patients to appropriate care pathways based on presenting complaints can optimize the match between patient needs and clinical resources.

The relationship between emergency department performance and inpatient capacity management has received increasing attention, with recognition that emergency department crowding often reflects broader hospital capacity constraints rather than emergency department-specific operational problems. The concept of "exit block" emphasizes that emergency department throughput is fundamentally dependent on the availability of inpatient beds for admitted patients, suggesting that interventions focused solely on emergency department processes may have limited impact if hospital-wide capacity constraints are not addressed (Asplin et al., 2003). This systems perspective has motivated more integrated approaches to capacity management that coordinate emergency department operations with inpatient flow initiatives, discharge planning processes, and hospital-wide capacity monitoring.

3.3 Surgical Services Capacity Management

Surgical services represent a major component of hospital capacity utilization and revenue generation, with operating rooms typically accounting for a substantial proportion of hospital variable costs and generating significant contribution margins. The management of surgical capacity involves complex scheduling decisions that must balance competing priorities including surgeon preferences and schedules, equipment and staff availability, patient needs and preferences, and the efficient utilization of expensive operating room resources (Cardoen et al., 2010). Operating room time represents a particularly constrained and valuable resource, with the fixed costs of maintaining surgical facilities substantial and the opportunity costs of underutilized time considerable.

Operating room scheduling typically occurs across multiple time horizons, with strategic decisions regarding the allocation of block time to different surgical services, tactical planning of specific cases within allocated blocks, and operational adjustments responding to emergencies, case variations, and disruptions. Block scheduling systems that assign dedicated operating room time to specific surgeons or surgical specialties provide predictability and facilitate long-range planning but can result in underutilization when allocated time is not fully used (Denton et al., 2010). Open scheduling systems that allow cases to be scheduled in any available slot maximize flexibility and utilization but can create competition for prime time slots and complicate coordination of specialized staff and equipment. Hybrid approaches that combine elements of block and open scheduling have emerged as a common compromise, attempting to balance the competing objectives of predictability, flexibility, and efficiency.

Surgical case duration variability represents a significant challenge for operating room scheduling and capacity management, with actual procedure times frequently deviating from scheduled estimates. This variability creates ripple effects throughout the surgical schedule, with cases running longer than anticipated causing delays for subsequent procedures, overtime costs for staff, and potential case cancellations when delays are substantial (Strum et al., 1999). Improved estimation of surgical case durations using historical data and statistical modeling techniques can enhance scheduling accuracy and reduce the frequency of significant schedule deviations. Some institutions have implemented real-time scheduling adjustments that dynamically reallocate cases to different operating rooms in response to developing delays, maintaining overall schedule performance even when individual cases deviate from planned durations.

Perioperative capacity management extends beyond the operating room itself to encompass pre-operative preparation areas, post-anesthesia care units, and intensive care units that provide critical care for post-surgical patients. Bottlenecks in any of these perioperative spaces can constrain overall surgical capacity even when operating room time is available (Litvak & Long, 2000). For example, if post-anesthesia care unit capacity is exhausted, surgical cases cannot be completed because patients cannot be safely transferred from the operating room, effectively creating unused operating room capacity despite scheduled procedures. Integrated perioperative capacity planning that considers constraints across all stages of the surgical pathway is essential for optimizing overall system performance and avoiding capacity mismatches that create bottlenecks.

3.4 Intensive Care Unit Capacity Management

Intensive care units represent the most resource-intensive component of hospital services, providing life-sustaining interventions for critically ill patients while consuming a disproportionate share of hospital costs. The management of intensive care unit capacity poses unique challenges related to the unpredictable demand for critical care services, the specialized staffing requirements that cannot be rapidly scaled, and the high-stakes clinical environment where capacity shortages can have immediate life-or-death consequences (Nguyen et al., 2019). Research has documented substantial variation in intensive care unit

capacity utilization across facilities and over time, with some hospitals experiencing chronic capacity shortages while others maintain substantial unused capacity, suggesting opportunities for improved planning and resource allocation.

Intensive care unit triage decisions, which determine which patients receive intensive care when demand exceeds available capacity, represent some of the most ethically challenging aspects of hospital capacity management. Clinical guidelines and scoring systems have been developed to provide objective frameworks for prioritizing patients based on medical need and likelihood of benefit from intensive care, but these decisions inevitably involve value judgments about appropriate resource allocation (Christian et al., 2014). The ethical principles guiding intensive care unit triage include beneficence, which prioritizes patients most likely to benefit from intensive care; justice, which emphasizes fairness and non-discrimination; and proportionality, which balances the degree of intervention with the expected outcomes. During crisis situations such as pandemics when intensive care demand dramatically exceeds normal capacity, triage frameworks become even more critical and may require modifications to usual standards of care.

Flexible staffing models have emerged as an important strategy for enhancing intensive care unit capacity responsiveness to fluctuating demand. Traditional approaches to intensive care nursing ratios, which typically maintain one nurse for every one or two patients, can create rigid capacity constraints tied to available staffing (West et al., 2014). Innovative approaches including the use of intensive care unit nurse extenders, enhanced roles for respiratory therapists in managing mechanically ventilated patients, and telemedicine-supported remote monitoring can enable more flexible capacity scaling. Some institutions have implemented cross-training programs that prepare nurses from other specialties to provide intensive care in surge situations, enhancing the facility's ability to rapidly expand critical care capacity when needed.

The concept of intensive care unit flexibility, which refers to the ability to expand, contract, or reconfigure intensive care capacity in response to changing demand, has gained prominence particularly in the context of pandemic preparedness. Flexible intensive care unit capacity strategies may include maintaining shell space that can be rapidly activated with equipment and staffing when needed, developing protocols for managing less complex critical care patients in step-down units, establishing triggers and protocols for surge capacity activation, and participating in regional collaborative networks that enable patient transfers when local capacity is exhausted (Halpern & Pastores, 2015). The investment required to maintain flexible capacity must be balanced against the frequency and severity of capacity shortages, with formal decision analysis and modeling techniques providing frameworks for optimizing these tradeoffs.

4. Workforce Capacity and Staffing Optimization

Healthcare workforce represents the most critical resource in hospital capacity management, with the availability of appropriately skilled and experienced clinical staff often serving as the binding constraint on effective capacity regardless of physical infrastructure availability.

The management of healthcare workforce capacity encompasses strategic decisions regarding workforce size and composition, tactical scheduling of available staff to match anticipated patient volumes, and operational adjustments responding to unexpected absences, patient acuity variations, and surge events (Kuntz et al., 2020). Healthcare labor markets in many jurisdictions face significant challenges including nursing shortages, physician maldistribution, and competition for specialized clinical skills, constraining the options available to healthcare administrators seeking to expand or maintain workforce capacity.

Nurse staffing levels have been extensively studied as a key determinant of patient outcomes and safety, with substantial research demonstrating associations between inadequate nurse-to-patient ratios and increased mortality, higher rates of adverse events, and deteriorating quality indicators (Aiken et al., 2014). These findings have motivated regulatory interventions in some jurisdictions establishing minimum nurse staffing ratios, though debates continue regarding optimal staffing levels and whether rigid ratios represent the most effective approach to ensuring safe staffing. The complexity of determining appropriate staffing extends beyond simple numerical ratios to encompass considerations of nurse experience and expertise, the skill mix of registered nurses versus licensed practical nurses and nursing assistants, and the allocation of nurses across different shifts and units based on anticipated patient acuity and volume.

Predictive analytics and workforce management systems have emerged as important tools for optimizing staff scheduling and allocation decisions. These systems utilize historical data on patient volumes, acuity levels, and staff productivity to forecast staffing requirements and generate schedules that match workforce supply with anticipated demand (Maenhout & Vanhoucke, 2013). Advanced workforce management platforms incorporate constraints such as labor agreements, individual staff preferences, skill mix requirements, and fatigue management protocols while optimizing objectives including cost minimization, schedule stability, and fairness in the distribution of undesirable shifts. The implementation of sophisticated workforce management systems has demonstrated potential to reduce staffing costs while simultaneously improving schedule quality and staff satisfaction.

Flexible staffing models have gained prominence as strategies for enhancing workforce capacity responsiveness to fluctuating demand. Float pools comprising nurses cross-trained to work across multiple units provide flexible resources that can be deployed to areas experiencing unexpectedly high patient volumes or staff absences (Duffield et al., 2011). Per diem and agency staff provide additional flexibility though often at higher cost and with concerns about continuity of care and organizational commitment. Internal resource teams that can rapidly respond to deteriorating patients anywhere in the hospital enhance capacity to manage high-acuity situations without requiring every unit to maintain specialized critical care expertise. Some institutions have implemented dynamic staffing models that adjust staff deployment multiple times during each shift based on real-time data about patient acuity and clinical needs.

The concept of workforce capacity resilience, which refers to the ability of healthcare staff to maintain performance under stressful conditions and recover from periods of exceptional

demand, has become increasingly recognized as critical to sustainable capacity management. Research on healthcare worker well-being has documented significant risks of burnout, compassion fatigue, and psychological distress associated with sustained high workloads and exposure to patient suffering (Dyrbye et al., 2017). These workforce challenges have substantial implications for capacity management, as burnout contributes to turnover, absenteeism, and reduced productivity that constrain effective capacity. Strategies to support workforce resilience include ensuring adequate recovery time between shifts, providing psychological support services, implementing workload management systems that prevent excessive overtime, and fostering organizational cultures that prioritize staff well-being alongside operational efficiency.

5. Data Analytics and Technology-Enabled Capacity Management

The proliferation of electronic health records, operational management systems, and real-time monitoring technologies has created unprecedented opportunities to leverage data analytics for enhanced capacity management decision-making. Contemporary hospitals generate enormous volumes of data regarding patient admissions, discharges, transfers, clinical activities, resource utilization, and operational performance, but translating these data into actionable insights requires sophisticated analytical capabilities and appropriate organizational processes (Raghupathi & Raghupathi, 2014). The evolution from retrospective reporting that describes what happened in the past to predictive analytics that forecast future capacity needs and prescriptive analytics that recommend optimal actions represents a significant advancement in capacity management sophistication.

Predictive modeling techniques applied to hospital capacity management aim to forecast various aspects of future demand including emergency department arrival volumes, hospital admission rates, patient length of stay distributions, and discharge patterns. These forecasts enable proactive capacity planning and resource allocation, allowing hospitals to adjust staffing levels, schedule elective procedures, and prepare for anticipated capacity pressures rather than merely reacting to developing crises (Gartner et al., 2015). Machine learning algorithms that identify complex patterns in historical data and account for multiple factors including day of week, season, community disease patterns, and local events have demonstrated improved forecasting accuracy compared to simpler statistical approaches. The integration of predictive models into operational workflows enables decision-makers to receive automated alerts about anticipated capacity constraints and recommendations for proactive interventions.

Real-time capacity monitoring systems that provide comprehensive visibility into current hospital status across all units and departments enable more coordinated and responsive capacity management. Dashboard technologies that consolidate information about bed availability, emergency department wait times, operating room schedules, patient boarding, and pending admissions offer situational awareness that supports system-level decision-making (Bhattacharjya et al., 2020). Command center models, pioneered at Johns Hopkins Hospital and subsequently adopted by numerous institutions, centralize capacity monitoring and coordination functions in a dedicated operations center staffed by personnel with

authority to implement interventions addressing capacity constraints. These centralized command centers can rapidly identify developing bottlenecks, coordinate patient transfers between units, expedite discharge processes for appropriate patients, and activate surge capacity protocols when thresholds are exceeded.

Simulation modeling represents a powerful analytical technique for evaluating potential capacity management interventions before implementation. Discrete event simulation models that replicate patient flow through hospital departments enable evaluation of alternative scenarios including changes to staffing patterns, modifications to patient flow protocols, investments in additional capacity, and implementation of innovative care delivery models (Günel & Pidd, 2010). These models can estimate the impacts of proposed changes on various performance metrics including wait times, resource utilization rates, and throughput, informing decisions about optimal intervention strategies. Agent-based modeling approaches that simulate the behaviors and interactions of individual patients, staff members, and organizational units provide additional insights into the complex dynamics of hospital operations and capacity utilization.

6. Strategic Capacity Planning and Infrastructure Development

Strategic capacity planning addresses long-term decisions regarding the size, configuration, and location of healthcare infrastructure, requiring consideration of demographic trends, epidemiological patterns, competitive dynamics, technological evolution, and community needs over planning horizons spanning decades. These strategic decisions involve substantial capital investments and create long-lasting constraints and opportunities for healthcare delivery, making careful analysis and stakeholder engagement essential (Hulshof et al., 2012). The inherent uncertainty about future healthcare demand and delivery models complicates strategic capacity planning, necessitating approaches that maintain flexibility and avoid premature commitment to inflexible infrastructure that may prove poorly matched to future needs.

Demographic analysis provides foundational insights for strategic capacity planning by projecting changes in population size, age distribution, and geographic distribution that fundamentally shape healthcare demand. Population aging in many developed countries creates increasing demand for hospital services, as elderly individuals utilize healthcare services at substantially higher rates than younger populations and present with more complex conditions requiring intensive interventions (Rechel et al., 2009). Migration patterns that shift population concentrations between urban and rural areas, or between regions within metropolitan areas, create capacity surpluses in some locations while generating shortages in others. Strategic capacity planning must account for these demographic dynamics to ensure appropriate geographic distribution of healthcare resources aligned with population needs.

Epidemiological trends including changing disease patterns, evolving clinical capabilities, and shifting care delivery models substantially influence future capacity requirements. The increasing prevalence of chronic conditions such as diabetes, heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease creates sustained growth in demand for hospital services,

while advances in prevention and disease management may shift care delivery toward ambulatory settings (Brailsford et al., 2004). Technological innovations including minimally invasive surgical techniques, enhanced recovery after surgery protocols, and remote monitoring capabilities enable more care to be provided outside traditional inpatient settings, potentially reducing requirements for hospital bed capacity while increasing demand for outpatient and home-based services. Strategic capacity planning must anticipate these evolving patterns to avoid investments in infrastructure that becomes obsolete as clinical practice evolves.

Modular and adaptable design principles have gained prominence in healthcare facility planning as strategies for maintaining flexibility in the face of uncertainty about future needs. Rather than designing hospital infrastructure optimized for current clinical practices and demand patterns, modular approaches create spaces and systems that can be relatively easily reconfigured to accommodate different uses as needs change (Hignett & Lu, 2010). Universal room designs that can accommodate various types of patients and care models enhance flexibility to respond to changing case mix and clinical practices. Building systems including mechanical, electrical, and information technology infrastructure designed with excess capacity and accessibility enable future modifications without requiring major structural interventions. Phased development strategies that implement capacity expansion in incremental stages allow ongoing evaluation and adjustment rather than committing to large-scale expansion based on long-range forecasts that may prove inaccurate.

7. Demand Management and Patient Flow Optimization

While much capacity management attention focuses on supply-side interventions that expand or optimize the utilization of available resources, demand management strategies that influence the volume, timing, and nature of healthcare utilization represent complementary approaches to addressing capacity challenges. Demand management in healthcare contexts raises complex ethical and practical considerations, as fundamental principles of medical ethics emphasize that clinical need rather than resource availability should govern access to care (Sabin & Daniels, 1994). Nevertheless, numerous demand management strategies can appropriately influence healthcare utilization patterns without compromising the principle that patients receive medically necessary care.

Elective admission scheduling represents one important domain for demand management interventions that smooth hospital census patterns and avoid capacity constraints. Historical patterns of elective admissions often show substantial day-of-week variation, with elective procedures heavily concentrated in the first half of the week and minimal elective volume on weekends, creating "weekend valleys" in hospital census followed by "midweek peaks" when elective and emergency admissions combine (Schull et al., 2004). This utilization pattern results in underutilized capacity during weekends and strained capacity during midweek peaks, with negative implications for both operational efficiency and quality of care. Strategic smoothing of elective admissions across the week, implementing Sunday admissions for procedures scheduled Monday morning and extending elective scheduling into weekends, can substantially improve capacity utilization patterns and reduce peak-period congestion.

Alternative care settings including observation units, ambulatory surgical centers, and hospital-at-home programs provide opportunities to manage demand on traditional inpatient resources by delivering appropriate care in lower-intensity settings. Observation units that provide brief periods of monitoring and diagnostic evaluation for patients not clearly requiring admission can reduce unnecessary inpatient admissions while ensuring patient safety (Baugh et al., 2012). Hospital-at-home models that provide acute care services in patients' residences with daily clinician visits, remote monitoring, and rapid response capabilities have demonstrated comparable outcomes to inpatient care for selected conditions while reducing costs and improving patient satisfaction. Ambulatory surgical centers that perform routine procedures not requiring overnight stays reduce pressure on hospital operating rooms and beds, allowing hospitals to focus on more complex cases requiring inpatient resources.

Discharge planning optimization represents a critical patient flow intervention that impacts capacity by reducing hospital length of stay and expediting bed turnover. Many hospital days represent "administratively necessary" time required to arrange post-discharge services, obtain equipment, or coordinate transfers to post-acute facilities rather than medically necessary time when patients require hospital-level care (Rojas-García et al., 2018). Structured discharge planning processes that begin at admission, involve multidisciplinary teams, and proactively address barriers to discharge can substantially reduce length of stay without compromising safety or quality. Investment in care coordination resources including social workers, discharge planners, and transition coaches provides return on investment through improved capacity utilization and reduced readmissions.

8. Regional Capacity Coordination and Network Approaches

Individual hospitals increasingly recognize that capacity management optimization requires coordination across networks of facilities rather than isolated institutional efforts. Regional capacity coordination addresses the reality that healthcare delivery occurs within interconnected systems where patient flows, referral patterns, and resource distribution impact system-wide performance (Dorn et al., 2006). Collaborative approaches to capacity management can leverage complementary capabilities across facilities, enable load balancing when individual institutions face capacity constraints, and enhance overall system resilience to surge events. However, implementing effective regional coordination confronts challenges including competitive dynamics among facilities, information sharing barriers, and complexity of coordinating independent organizational entities.

Regional transfer systems that facilitate patient movement among hospitals based on capacity availability and clinical capabilities enhance system-wide access to appropriate care. When one hospital faces capacity constraints, coordinated systems enable identification of alternative facilities with available capacity and clinical capabilities to provide needed care, reducing the likelihood that patients experience delays or denials of needed services. Formal transfer agreements that establish protocols, communication mechanisms, and financial arrangements reduce barriers and delays to implementing transfers when needed (Iwashyna et al., 2009). Some regions have implemented centralized patient placement services that

monitor capacity across multiple facilities and coordinate patient transfers, though these systems require substantial trust and collaboration among potentially competing institutions.

Mutual aid agreements that enable resource sharing among healthcare facilities during surge events or disasters enhance collective capacity resilience. These agreements may encompass sharing of personnel, with staff from less-impacted facilities temporarily deployed to facilities experiencing surges; sharing of equipment and supplies to address localized shortages; and joint procurement strategies that achieve economies of scale. The Healthcare Coalition model promoted in the United States encourages formation of regional collaboratives that conduct joint planning, coordinate response efforts, and share resources during public health emergencies (Courtney et al., 2010). While establishing and maintaining these coalitions requires ongoing effort and resources during normal operations, their value becomes evident during crisis situations when coordinated regional responses prove far more effective than isolated institutional efforts.

Telehealth and teleconsultation services enable virtual capacity expansion by providing remote clinical expertise to facilities lacking specialized capabilities. Critical care telemedicine programs that connect intensivists in centralized hubs with intensive care unit patients in multiple spoke hospitals enhance access to specialized expertise without requiring facilities to maintain in-house critical care physicians around the clock (Kahn, 2016). Telestroke networks that provide rapid remote neurological consultation for stroke patients enable time-sensitive treatment decisions at facilities without in-house neurology coverage. Telepsychiatry services address shortages of mental health professionals by providing remote psychiatric evaluations and consultations. These telehealth models effectively enhance capacity by leveraging specialized expertise across larger populations, though they require investments in technology infrastructure and careful attention to clinical protocols and liability considerations.

9. Conclusion and Future Directions

9.1 Summary of Key Findings

This comprehensive examination of hospital capacity management has revealed the multidimensional complexity inherent in optimizing healthcare resource utilization while maintaining quality patient care. The synthesis of theoretical frameworks, operational strategies, and technological innovations presented throughout this paper underscores several fundamental conclusions that should guide future practice and research in this critical domain.

First, effective hospital capacity management requires an integrated, systems-level approach that recognizes the interdependencies among physical infrastructure, operational processes, workforce resources, and organizational culture. Isolated interventions targeting individual components of the capacity system, while potentially beneficial, cannot achieve the transformative improvements necessary to address contemporary capacity challenges. The conceptual framework presented in this paper—encompassing structural, operational, human,

and adaptive capacity dimensions—provides a holistic lens through which healthcare leaders can assess their organizations' capacity capabilities and identify strategic priorities for improvement.

Second, the transition from reactive to proactive capacity management represents a critical evolution enabled by advances in data analytics, predictive modeling, and real-time monitoring systems. Healthcare organizations that effectively leverage these technological capabilities gain substantial advantages in anticipating capacity constraints, optimizing resource allocation, and coordinating complex patient flow processes. However, technology alone cannot solve capacity management challenges; successful implementation requires careful attention to change management, workflow integration, and the development of organizational capabilities to translate data insights into effective operational decisions.

Third, workforce capacity represents the most critical and often most constrained dimension of hospital capacity, with implications extending beyond immediate operational performance to encompass staff well-being, retention, and long-term sustainability. The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that sustainable capacity management must prioritize workforce resilience alongside operational efficiency, recognizing that burned-out, dissatisfied staff cannot deliver the high-quality care that defines successful healthcare organizations. Innovative staffing models, predictive workforce planning, and organizational cultures that value staff well-being represent essential investments in capacity sustainability.

Fourth, the geographic and temporal dimensions of capacity management necessitate flexible, adaptive strategies rather than rigid, standardized approaches. Capacity requirements vary across hours, days, seasons, and years, demanding management systems that can scale resources dynamically in response to fluctuating demand. Similarly, regional coordination and network-based approaches to capacity management offer opportunities to enhance system-wide resilience and efficiency that cannot be achieved through isolated institutional efforts, though implementing effective regional collaboration confronts substantial organizational and competitive barriers.

Fifth, the ethical dimensions of capacity management—particularly decisions regarding resource allocation when demand exceeds supply—require explicit attention and transparent frameworks. The COVID-19 pandemic starkly illustrated scenarios where capacity constraints forced difficult triage decisions about who receives care, highlighting the importance of ethical preparedness alongside operational planning. Healthcare organizations bear responsibility for developing clear, ethically grounded policies for crisis capacity allocation that can guide decision-making during extraordinary circumstances while maintaining organizational values and public trust.

9.2 Implications for Practice

The research synthesized in this paper yields several actionable implications for healthcare administrators, policymakers, and clinicians seeking to enhance capacity management in their institutions and health systems.

Healthcare executives should prioritize the development of integrated capacity management frameworks that coordinate activities across traditional departmental silos. This requires establishing clear governance structures with authority to implement system-wide interventions, investing in technology platforms that provide comprehensive visibility into capacity status across all hospital units, and fostering organizational cultures that emphasize collaboration over departmental optimization. The implementation of hospital operations centers or command centers represents one promising model for centralizing capacity coordination, though success requires careful attention to role definitions, decision-making authority, and integration with existing management structures.

Investment in predictive analytics capabilities should be viewed as strategic imperatives rather than optional technology enhancements. Healthcare organizations that develop sophisticated forecasting models for patient volumes, length of stay, and resource requirements gain significant competitive advantages in optimizing operations and maintaining quality during capacity pressures. However, analytics investments must be accompanied by development of organizational capabilities to utilize insights effectively, including training for managers in data interpretation, establishment of protocols for translating predictions into operational adjustments, and creation of feedback loops that continuously improve model accuracy.

Workforce planning and management practices require fundamental rethinking to address the capacity constraints created by labor shortages and the sustainability challenges posed by burnout and turnover. Healthcare organizations should implement comprehensive workforce strategies encompassing recruitment and retention initiatives, flexible staffing models that can scale to demand fluctuations, investment in staff development and career advancement opportunities, and organizational support systems that protect staff well-being. The short-term cost savings from minimal staffing and high workloads prove illusory when accounting for turnover costs, productivity losses from burnout, and quality impacts from inadequate staffing.

Emergency department capacity challenges require hospital-wide solutions rather than isolated ED interventions. Healthcare leaders should recognize that ED boarding and crowding typically reflect broader capacity constraints in inpatient units, necessitating integrated approaches that optimize patient flow throughout the entire hospital. Investments in discharge planning resources, implementation of admission avoidance programs, and development of alternative care pathways for patients not requiring traditional inpatient admission can provide greater returns than ED-focused interventions alone.

Regional health systems and collaborative networks should establish formal mechanisms for capacity coordination during both routine operations and surge events. This includes development of transfer protocols and mutual aid agreements, implementation of information sharing systems that provide visibility into capacity status across facilities, and joint planning for scenarios where regional capacity may be stressed. While competitive dynamics may create barriers to collaboration, the collective benefits of enhanced system resilience and

efficiency can justify the investments and compromises required for effective regional coordination.

9.3 Future Research Directions

While substantial progress has been made in understanding hospital capacity management, numerous important questions remain that warrant future research attention. The following research priorities would advance both theoretical understanding and practical application of capacity management principles.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Applications: The rapid evolution of AI and machine learning technologies offers unprecedented opportunities for advancing capacity management capabilities. Future research should explore applications including deep learning models for patient flow prediction, reinforcement learning algorithms for dynamic resource allocation, natural language processing for extracting capacity-relevant information from clinical documentation, and computer vision applications for real-time occupancy monitoring. Critical research questions include determining optimal approaches for integrating AI systems into clinical workflows, understanding how clinical users interact with and trust AI-generated recommendations, and evaluating whether AI-enabled capacity management translates into improved patient outcomes and operational performance.

Resilience and Adaptive Capacity: The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted significant gaps in understanding how healthcare systems maintain function during extreme disruptions and how they recover following crisis periods. Future research should investigate factors that enhance organizational adaptive capacity, strategies for maintaining workforce resilience during sustained high-stress periods, approaches for rapidly scaling capacity during surge events, and mechanisms for effective recovery and learning following crisis experiences. Longitudinal studies tracking healthcare organizations through crisis and recovery phases would provide valuable insights into resilience factors and adaptive strategies.

Equity Implications of Capacity Management: Limited research has examined how capacity management practices impact equity in healthcare access and outcomes across different population groups. Future studies should investigate whether capacity constraints disproportionately affect vulnerable populations, how triage and resource allocation decisions during capacity shortages impact different demographic groups, and whether innovations in capacity management reduce or exacerbate existing disparities. Research in this domain should employ health equity frameworks and explicitly consider social determinants of health alongside operational metrics.

Economic Evaluation of Capacity Interventions: While numerous capacity management interventions have been proposed and implemented, rigorous economic evaluations comparing costs, benefits, and cost-effectiveness of different approaches remain limited. Future research should conduct comprehensive economic analyses of capacity management strategies, accounting for both direct costs and broader system impacts. This includes evaluation of optimal capacity buffer levels that balance efficiency with resilience,

assessment of returns on investment for capacity management technologies, and comparison of alternative approaches for expanding effective capacity.

Implementation Science and Change Management: Successful capacity management requires not only identifying effective strategies but also understanding how to implement them in complex organizational contexts. Future research should apply implementation science frameworks to identify barriers and facilitators of capacity management innovation adoption, evaluate alternative change management approaches, and develop evidence-based implementation toolkits. Studies should examine organizational characteristics associated with successful capacity management transformation and factors that enable sustained improvement versus regression to previous practices.

Integration of Social Care and Healthcare Capacity: Recognition is growing that hospital capacity challenges are intertwined with social care capacity, particularly regarding discharge planning and post-acute care placement. Future research should investigate integrated models that coordinate healthcare and social care capacity, evaluate impacts of social care investments on hospital capacity utilization, and explore alternative funding and governance models that optimize capacity across the continuum of care rather than within isolated sectors.

Climate Change and Capacity Planning: Climate change is expected to impact healthcare demand patterns through mechanisms including increased frequency and severity of extreme weather events, geographic shifts in disease patterns, and climate-related migration. Future research should model implications of climate change for long-term capacity planning, evaluate resilience of healthcare infrastructure to climate-related disruptions, and develop adaptive capacity strategies that account for evolving environmental conditions.

Virtual Care and Distributed Capacity Models: The rapid expansion of telehealth and virtual care models during the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated potential for fundamentally different approaches to healthcare delivery and capacity management. Future research should evaluate long-term sustainability and effectiveness of virtual care models, investigate optimal integration of virtual and in-person care, and explore how distributed care models impact hospital capacity requirements. This includes examining patient outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and equity implications of alternative care delivery configurations.

9.4 Limitations and Methodological Considerations

This research synthesis acknowledges several limitations that should inform interpretation of findings and guide future research. First, the heterogeneity of healthcare systems, organizational contexts, and capacity challenges across different settings limits the generalizability of specific findings. Capacity management strategies effective in large urban academic medical centers may require substantial adaptation for rural community hospitals or specialized care facilities. Future research should explicitly address contextual factors and contingencies that influence strategy effectiveness.

Second, the rapid evolution of healthcare delivery, technology capabilities, and patient expectations creates challenges for synthesizing research conducted over extended time periods. Findings from studies conducted a decade ago may have limited applicability to contemporary contexts, though foundational theoretical frameworks and general principles retain relevance. Ongoing research updating understanding of capacity management in evolving contexts remains essential.

Third, the complexity of hospital operations and the multitude of simultaneous initiatives underway in most healthcare organizations complicate causal attribution for capacity management interventions. Rigorous evaluation designs including randomized controlled trials or quasi-experimental approaches with strong comparison groups remain relatively uncommon in healthcare operations research. Future studies should employ more robust evaluation methodologies that enable stronger causal inferences about intervention effects.

9.5 Concluding Remarks

Hospital capacity management stands at a critical juncture, with unprecedented challenges arising from demographic aging, workforce shortages, financial pressures, and periodic surge events occurring alongside revolutionary opportunities created by digital technologies, data analytics, and innovative care delivery models. The path forward requires healthcare leaders who can navigate complexity, embrace innovation while maintaining core values, and collaborate across traditional boundaries to optimize capacity at both organizational and system levels.

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a harsh teacher, revealing vulnerabilities in capacity management systems while simultaneously demonstrating the remarkable adaptability and resilience of healthcare professionals and organizations when confronted with extraordinary challenges. The lessons learned during this crisis period should not be forgotten as healthcare systems transition back toward routine operations. Rather, the innovations, collaborations, and adaptive strategies developed in response to crisis demands should be refined, sustained, and integrated into routine capacity management practices.

Ultimately, effective hospital capacity management serves the fundamental purpose of ensuring that patients receive timely access to high-quality care when they need it. This patient-centered objective must remain the guiding principle for capacity management initiatives, with operational efficiency and financial sustainability understood as enablers of rather than competitors to clinical excellence. Healthcare organizations that successfully integrate strategic vision, operational discipline, technological innovation, and genuine commitment to the well-being of both patients and staff will be best positioned to navigate the capacity challenges of coming decades while fulfilling healthcare's healing mission.

The research and practice of hospital capacity management will continue to evolve as healthcare systems adapt to changing demands, capabilities, and constraints. By building on the theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and practical insights synthesized in this paper, healthcare leaders, researchers, and policymakers can work collaboratively toward

capacity management systems that are efficient, equitable, resilient, and ultimately capable of serving the health needs of the populations they exist to serve.

References

- Aiken, L. H., Sloane, D. M., Bruyneel, L., Van den Heede, K., Griffiths, P., Busse, R., Diomidous, M., Kinnunen, J., Kózka, M., Lesaffre, E., McHugh, M. D., Moreno-Casbas, M. T., Rafferty, A. M., Schwendimann, R., Scott, P. A., Tishelman, C., van Achterberg, T., & Sermeus, W. (2014). Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries: A retrospective observational study. *The Lancet*, 383(9931), 1824–1830. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)62631-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62631-8)
- Asplin, B. R., Magid, D. J., Rhodes, K. V., Solberg, L. I., Lurie, N., & Camargo, C. A. (2003). A conceptual model of emergency department crowding. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 42(2), 173–180. <https://doi.org/10.1067/mem.2003.302>
- Bai, J., Fügener, A., Schoenfelder, J., & Brunner, J. O. (2018). Operations research in intensive care unit management: A literature review. *Health Care Management Science*, 21(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-016-9375-1>
- Baugh, C. W., Venkatesh, A. K., & Bohan, J. S. (2012). Emergency department observation units: A clinical and financial benefit for hospitals. *Health Care Management Review*, 37(1), 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HMR.0b013e31821ed8ff>
- Bernstein, S. L., Aronsky, D., Duseja, R., Epstein, S., Handel, D., Hwang, U., McCarthy, M., McConnell, K. J., Pines, J. M., Rathlev, N., Schafermeyer, R., Zwemer, F., Schull, M., & Asplin, B. R. (2009). The effect of emergency department crowding on clinically oriented outcomes. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 16(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00295.x>
- Bhattacharjya, D., Gao, T., Kephart, J. O., Noone, M., Raghavan, N. R. S., Shaffer, C. A., Subramanian, D., Tesauro, G., & Verscheure, O. (2020). Analytics for smarter healthcare scheduling. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 64(1/2), 2:1–2:12. <https://doi.org/10.1147/JRD.2019.2950186>
- Brailsford, S. C., Harper, P. R., Patel, B., & Pitt, M. (2004). An analysis of the academic literature on simulation and modelling in health care. *Journal of Simulation*, 3(3), 130–140. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jos.2009.10>
- Carenzo, L., Costantini, E., Greco, M., Barra, F. L., Rendiniello, V., Mainetti, M., Bui, R., Zanella, A., Grasselli, G., Lagioia, M., & Protti, A. (2020). Hospital surge capacity in a tertiary emergency referral centre during the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. *Anaesthesia*, 75(7), 928–934. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15072>

- Cardoen, B., Demeulemeester, E., & Beliën, J. (2010). Operating room planning and scheduling: A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 201(3), 921–932. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2009.04.011>
- Christian, M. D., Sprung, C. L., King, M. A., Dichter, J. R., Kissoon, N., Devereaux, A. V., Gomersall, C. D., & Task Force for Mass Critical Care. (2014). Triage: Care of the critically ill and injured during pandemics and disasters: CHEST consensus statement. *Chest*, 146(4 Suppl), e61S–e74S. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-0736>
- Courtney, B., Hodge, J. G., & Toner, E. S. (2010). Legal preparedness for healthcare coalitions and the evolving public-private relationship. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 4(3), 221–227. <https://doi.org/10.1001/dmp.2010.30>
- Denton, B., Viapiano, J., & Vogl, A. (2010). Optimization of surgery sequencing and scheduling decisions under uncertainty. *Health Care Management Science*, 10(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-006-9005-4>
- Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations*. Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452229249>
- Dorn, B. C., Savoia, E., Testa, M. A., Stoto, M. A., & Marcus, L. J. (2006). Development of a survey instrument to measure connectivity to evaluate National public health preparedness and response performance standards. *Public Health Reports*, 121(2), 186–195. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003335490612100214>
- Duffield, C., Roche, M., O'Brien-Pallas, L., Catling-Paull, C., & King, M. (2011). Staff satisfaction and retention and the role of the nursing unit manager. *Collegian*, 16(1), 11–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colegn.2010.12.001>
- Dyrbye, L. N., Shanafelt, T. D., Sinsky, C. A., Cipriano, P. F., Bhatt, J., Ommaya, A., West, C. P., & Meyers, D. (2017). Burnout among health care professionals: A call to explore and address this underrecognized threat to safe, high-quality care (Discussion Paper). *National Academy of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.31478/201707b>
- Eriksson, C. O., Stoner, R. C., Eden, K. B., Newgard, C. D., & Guise, J. M. (2020). The association between hospital capacity strain and inpatient outcomes in highly developed countries: A systematic review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 32(6), 686–696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-016-3936-3>
- FitzGerald, G., Jelinek, G. A., Scott, D., & Gerdtz, M. F. (2010). Emergency department triage revisited. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 27(2), 86–92. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emj.2009.077081>
- Forster, A. J., Stiell, I., Wells, G., Lee, A. J., & van Walraven, C. (2003). The effect of hospital occupancy on emergency department length of stay and patient disposition.

Academic Emergency Medicine, 10(2), 127–133. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2003.tb00029.x>

- Gartner, D., Kolisch, R., Neill, D. B., & Padman, R. (2015). Machine learning approaches for early DRG classification and resource allocation. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 27(4), 718–734. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.2015.0655>
- Goldratt, E. M., & Cox, J. (2016). *The goal: A process of ongoing improvement* (4th ed.). North River Press.
- Green, L. (2006). Queueing analysis in healthcare. In R. W. Hall (Ed.), *Patient flow: Reducing delay in healthcare delivery* (pp. 281–307). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-33636-7_10
- Günal, M. M., & Pidd, M. (2010). Discrete event simulation for performance modelling in health care: A review of the literature. *Journal of Simulation*, 4(1), 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jos.2009.25>
- Halpern, N. A., & Pastores, S. M. (2015). Critical care medicine beds, use, occupancy, and costs in the United States: A methodological review. *Critical Care Medicine*, 43(11), 2452–2459. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000001227>
- Hendrich, A. L., Fay, J., & Sorrells, A. K. (2004). Effects of acuity-adaptable rooms on flow of patients and delivery of care. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 13(1), 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2004.13.1.35>
- Hignett, S., & Lu, J. (2010). Space to care and treat safely in acute hospitals: Recommendations from 1866 to 2008. *Applied Ergonomics*, 41(5), 666–673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2009.12.010>
- Hulshof, P. J. H., Kortbeek, N., Boucherie, R. J., Hans, E. W., & Bakker, P. J. M. (2012). Taxonomic classification of planning decisions in health care: A structured review of the state of the art in OR/MS. *Health Systems*, 1(2), 129–175. <https://doi.org/10.1057/hs.2012.18>
- Iwashyna, T. J., Christie, J. D., Kahn, J. M., & Asch, D. A. (2009). Uncharted paths: Hospital networks in critical care. *Chest*, 135(3), 827–833. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.08-1052>
- Kahn, J. M. (2016). Virtual intensive care units: The future is now. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 194(5), 538–539. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201605-1042ED>
- Kannampallil, T. G., Schauer, G. F., Cohen, T., & Patel, V. L. (2011). Considering complexity in healthcare systems. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 44(6), 943–947. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2011.06.006>

- Kc, D. S., & Terwiesch, C. (2009). Impact of workload on service time and patient safety: An econometric analysis of hospital operations. *Management Science*, 55(9), 1486–1498. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1090.1037>
- Kuntz, L., Scholtes, S., & Vera, A. (2020). Incorporating efficiency in hospital-capacity planning in the United States. *Management Science*, 66(9), 3942–3962. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2019.3415>
- Litvak, E., & Long, M. C. (2000). Cost and quality under managed care: Irreconcilable differences? *American Journal of Managed Care*, 6(3), 305–312.
- Maenhout, B., & Vanhoucke, M. (2013). An integrated nurse staffing and scheduling analysis for longer-term nursing staff allocation problems. *Omega*, 41(2), 485–499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2012.01.002>
- McManus, M. L., Long, M. C., Cooper, A., Mandell, J., Berwick, D. M., Pagano, M., & Litvak, E. (2004). Variability in surgical caseload and access to intensive care services. *Anesthesiology*, 100(6), 1491–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200406000-00022>
- Nguyen, Y. L., Angus, D. C., Boumendil, A., & Guidet, B. (2019). The challenge of admitting the very elderly to intensive care. *Annals of Intensive Care*, 1(1), Article 29. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-1-29>
- Pines, J. M., Hilton, J. A., Weber, E. J., Alkemade, A. J., Al Shabanah, H., Anderson, P. D., Bernstein, S. L., Bertini, A., Gries, A., Ferrandiz, S., Kumar, V. A., Harjola, V. P., Hogan, B., Madsen, B., Mason, S., Öhlén, G., Rainer, T., Rathlev, N., Revue, E., ... Schull, M. J. (2011). International perspectives on emergency department crowding. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 18(12), 1358–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01235.x>
- Raghupathi, W., & Raghupathi, V. (2014). Big data analytics in healthcare: Promise and potential. *Health Information Science and Systems*, 2, Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2501-2-3>
- Rechel, B., Doyle, Y., Grundy, E., & McKee, M. (2009). How can health systems respond to population ageing? *Health Systems and Policy Analysis* (Policy Brief No. 10). World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe.
- Rojas-García, A., Turner, S., Pizzo, E., Hudson, E., Thomas, J., & Raine, R. (2018). Impact and experiences of delayed discharge: A mixed-studies systematic review. *Health Expectations*, 21(1), 41–56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.12619>
- Sabin, J. E., & Daniels, N. (1994). Determining "medical necessity" in mental health practice. *Hastings Center Report*, 24(6), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3563396>

- Sanchez, M., Smally, A. J., Grant, R. J., & Jacobs, L. M. (2006). Effects of a fast-track area on emergency department performance. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 31(1), 117–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2005.08.019>
- Schull, M. J., Lazier, K., Vermeulen, M., Mawhinney, S., & Morrison, L. J. (2004). Emergency department contributors to ambulance diversion: A quantitative analysis. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 41(4), 467–476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2003.10.046>
- Strum, D. P., May, J. H., & Vargas, L. G. (1999). Modeling the uncertainty of surgical procedure times: Comparison of log-normal and normal models. *Anesthesiology*, 90(4), 1160–1163. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199904000-00035>
- West, E., Barron, D. N., Harrison, D., Rafferty, A. M., Rowan, K., & Sanderson, C. (2014). Nurse staffing, medical staffing and mortality in intensive care: An observational study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 51(5), 781–794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.02.007>
- Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation* (Rev. ed.). Free Press.